

LAB-CONTROLLED SOCCER: A REVIEW OF SOCCER MATCH-PLAY SIMULATIONS

Mohammad Hamdan Raja Mohammed Firhad Raja Azidin

Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation, UiTM Shah Alam Selangor.

Received: 13 April, 2022

Accepted: 22 June, 2022

Published: 15 Sept, 2022

Corresponding Author

Raja Mohammed Firhad Raja Azidin, PhD Email: <u>firhad@uitm.edu.my</u> Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation UiTM Shah Alam, Selangor. +60123485356





LAB-CONTROLLED SOCCER: A REVIEW OF SOCCER MATCH-PLAY SIMULATIONS

Mohammad Hamdan, & Raja Mohammed Firhad Raja Azidin

Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation UiTM Shah Alam Selangor.

ABSTRACT

Soccer is the most popular sport that has attracted not only participants and spectators, but also numerous researchers into studying a myriad of disciplines relating to the sport. The demands of the sport have been observed, studied, and replicated to be reproduced in a more controlled, laboratory setting in forms of various exercise protocols designed to mimic actual soccer match-play. However, the development and selection of a simulation has varied across studies. This review aims to compile and align various protocols in simulating soccer matchplay to identify and evaluate the feasibility of incorporating different simulations into various studies in the sport. Overground and treadmill simulations may offer cost efficient reproduction of soccer match-play demands, with arguably the best control for uniform exertion to reduce injuries during simulations. This paper is the first narrative review to discuss numerous protocols used in research towards reproducing soccer matchplay in a lab-controlled environment.

Keywords: Lab Controlled Soccer, Match- Play Simulations, Match -Play demands, mimic





INTRODUCTION

Sports are popular for many reasons. Keeping healthy, for example, is often cited as a reason for participating in sports. Others will tell you that they do sports for recreational purposes. Sports are even more popular with people who do not necessarily participate in them, but love being spectators. With an estimated following base of 3.572 billion viewers during the 2018 FIFA World Cup (FIFA) and almost 270 million active players, soccer, or association football, is the most participated sport in the world. The prestige of the sport makes the bid for hosting the next World Cup events political in nature because of the major economic impact through the hosting the event (Baade & Matheson, 2004). With such prestige and expectations in the World Cup and other interest in soccer has propelled various studies in the research department in various branches of sport sciences from such as physiology, nutrition, conditioning, psychology, and biomechanics.

Studies in many disciplines of soccer generally explore the effects of fatigue from soccer match-play and the effects of soccer-specific exertions during match-play (Raja Azidin, 2015). According to Raja Azidin (2015), the distinction between the two is that the former generally puts their emphasis on fatigue itself, which was induced by high intensity repetitions of movements in soccer over a short bout of match-play instance, such as those displayed by Rampinini et al. (2008), Lucci et al. (2011), Bossuyt et al. (2015), and Mohd Noh et al. (2019). On the other hand, the latter puts greater emphasis on soccer-specific tasks throughout the length of a soccer match, with fatigue regarded as a by-product, or secondary effect, of the series of exertion. In the latter, the intensities of the tasks would be lower, with occasional bursts of short, high intensity activities.

Short-term, high intensity simulations could benefit researchers by highlighting physical performance decrements, which according to Mohr et al. (2003), could probably result as a consequence of an acute effect of temporary, or localized fatigue. These localized fatigue in soccer may be caused by match-play itself, but in lab-controlled studies, such fatigue were quickly replicated by virtue of changes in performances measured following high intensity activities such as sprints, plyometrics, or agility drills. Although these modifications allow time-efficient assessments for physical performance changes, the nature of the localized fatigue (peripheral fatigue), might not allow much understanding on how soccer match-play itself contributes to such decrements, in comparison to the role of fatigue on the performance changes. Thus, longer simulations, although more time consuming, may hold an edge by inducing a more central fatigue, through prolonged, intermittent soccer-specific actions.

This review aims to compile and align various soccer match-play simulations to identify and evaluate the feasibility of incorporating different simulations into various studies in the sport.

Reproducing the Demands of Soccer Match-Play in a Laboratory Setting

The initiative for a myriad of studies in soccer had to be developed from respective sportspecific demands. In soccer, the demands of the sport have been observed, studied, and replicated to be reproduced in a more controlled, laboratory setting. Advances in the technology and research has thus allowed many protocols for reproducing a certain sport





specific demand and to provide better understanding of the effects sport-specific fatigue on their sport-specific task performance and relating to injury risk. In soccer, fatigue protocols have changed and evolved to many inputs from research such as match-play duration, activity profiles, task execution, physiological responses, and player loading.

Protocol Duration

As mentioned in the previous section, soccer simulations may allow time-savvy observations and assessments or facilitate a temporal depiction of how soccer match-play may contribute to the observed changes. Simulation protocols introduced by Rampinini et al. (2008), Lucci et al. (2011), Bossuyt et al. (2015), and Mohd Noh et al. (2019) conveniently require 5 minutes of exertions to induce localized fatigue for physical performance assessments. However, this review focuses on simulations that reproduce the demands of soccer match-play in a laboratory setting, with fatigue as secondary effect from the simulation.

Such simulations generally consume a longer duration for a singular assessment. A typical soccer match consists of two 45-minute halves, interceded by a 15-minute half time, totaling 90 minutes of match-play duration, and an additional 30 minutes of extra-time if the match is tied before resorting to penalty shoot-outs to decide a winner. This format has been observed by researchers to produce simulations of at least one half of a soccer match (Raja Azidin, Pykett, Scanlon, Baradburn, et al., 2014; Raja Azidin, Pykett, Scanlon, Baradburn, et al., 2015; Raja Azidin et al., 2013), while extra time procedures were incorporated into the simulation to suit certain research objectives as demonstrated by Harper et al. (2016) and Hamdan, Mohd Noh, et al. (2018). Most simulations address these match-play durations by virtue of a 15–20-minute activity profile, repeated consecutively to fulfil the 90 minutes of simulated match-play.

Activity Profiles

Running activity profiles in soccer have been mostly identified and categorized from standing still, walking, jogging, running or striding and sprinting occurring intermittently with the utility of backwards or sideways actions every now and then (Thatcher & Batterham, 2004). According to Reilly (1997), the intermittent activities are repeated continuously with about 44 static rest pauses (standing) at an average of 3 seconds almost every two minutes. In actual match-play, these activities are performed over a total of 90 minutes whilst superimposing various ball control actions (Robinson & White, 2005) which, according to Reilly (1997), could be influenced by the irregular volume and density of the actions throughout the course of the match. Match-play data from studies by Di Salvo et al. (2007) and Link and Hoernig (2017) have then been presented, suggesting that the activities in soccer match-play may vary across players depending on their playing position on the field (i.e. defenders, midfielders, and forwards), and the teams' playing styles (i.e. offensive and defensive). More recent simulations have thus introduced modifications to previous versions of soccer match simulations to allow more accurate representation of soccer activities throughout the simulations (da Silva & Lovell, 2020; Hamdan et al., 2020).





Observed Parameters

It was proposed by Reilly (2005) that to measure the demands imposed by an athlete during match-play in relation to the actual demands of the game, physiological responses to the activity (i.e. heart rate (HR), rating of perceived exertion (RPE), blood lactate (BLa) and oxygen consumption (VO2)) may be used for insightful evaluation, and these information are often considered during assessments and designing injury prevention and fitness interventions (Reilly & Brooks, 1986). These physiological parameters could be used to describe the intensity of the soccer match-play simulation. Thus, most studies reported at least two, physiological responses throughout their respective simulations to be compared to actual match-play observations. Small (2008) summarized from a compilation of studies in league and friendly matches that a mean HR of 161 ± 5 beats per minute (bpm) could be observed among players throughout the course of a soccer match. Mohr et al. (2004) reported that oxygen consumption in soccer matches could reach up to 70% of a players' VO2max, with players' body mass decreasing by approximately 2% through fluid loss following increments in core body temperatures to up to 38.7 °C. The match-play simulation by Small (2008) allowed comparable physiological changes to actual soccer match-play and was adopted by subsequent researchers, each introducing slight modifications to facilitate improvement in spatial feasibility (Raja Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al., 2015) and technical (sport-specific) validity (da Silva & Lovell, 2020; Hamdan et al., 2020). Table 1 is a summary of several selected studies reporting on the physiological responses that were reproduced from soccer specific fatigue protocols.

Author (year)	Participant demographics	Protocol	Activity profiles	Key findings	
Nicholas et	Amateur	Loughborough	Standing	HR: $\sim 170 \pm 4$	
al. (2000)	Rugby and	Intermittent	Walking (Individual	bpm	
	Soccer Players	Shuttle Test	speed)	RPE_{10} (0-75	
	Male		Jogging (55%	min): $\sim 3 - 8$	
	$22 \pm 1 y$	Duration: Over 90	VO _{2max})	RPE_{10}	
	$N = 15^{\circ}$	min	Striding (95%	(exhaustion):	
			VO _{2max})	10	
			Sprinting (maximal		
			speed)		
Drust et al.	Collegiate	Soccer Specific	Standing	HR: 168 ± 10	
(2000)	Soccer Players	Intermittent	Walking (6 km/h)	bpm	
	Male	Protocol	Jogging (12 km/h)	-	
	24 ± 2 y		Striding (15 km/h)	RPE ₂₀ : 15 ± 2	
	N = 7	Duration: 90 min	Sprinting (21 km/h)		
Rahnama et	Amateur	Soccer Specific	Standing	HR: N/A	
al. (2003)	Soccer Players	Intermittent	Walking (6 km/h)		
	23 ± 4 y	Protocol	Jogging (12 km/h)	RPE: N/A	
	N = 13		Striding (15 km/h)		
		Duration: 90 min	Sprinting (21 km/h)		
Thatcher	Professional	Soccer Specific	Standing	HR: 166 ± 12	
and	and Academy	Exercise Protocol	Walking (5 km/h)	bpm	
Batterham	Soccer Players		Jogging (10km/h)		
(2004)	-	Duration: 90 min	Running (17 km/h)	RPE: N/A	

Table 1 : Summary of Physiological Responses to Soccer Match Simulation Protocols.





	First team: 30 \pm 3 y Academy: 17 \pm 1 y N = 12 First team; 12 Academy		Sprinting (23 km/h)	
Greig et al. (2006)	Semi Professional Soccer Players Male $25 \pm 4 y$ N = 10	Soccer-Specific Intermittent Treadmill Protocol Duration: 90 min	Standing Walking (4 km/h) Jogging (8 km/h) Running (12, 16 and 21 km/h) Sprinting (25 km/h)	$\begin{array}{l} HR_{Initial}: \ 125 \ \pm \\ 10 \ bpm \end{array} \\ HR_{Final}: \ 135 \ \pm \\ 10 \ bpm \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} RPE_{20} \ (Initial): \\ 9 \ \pm 1 \end{array} \\ \\ RPE_{20} \ (Final): \\ 12 \ \pm \ 2 \end{array}$
Kellis et al. (2006)	Professional Academy Soccer Players $18 \pm 1 \text{ y}$ N = 8	Acute Endurance Protocol Duration: 90 min	Walking (5.5 km/h) Sprinting (maximal effort) Jogging (50 – 60% HR _{max}) Running (80 – 90% HR _{max})	HR: 150 – 187 ± 8 bpm RPE: N/A
Sanna and O'Connor (2008) <i>Continued</i>	Division 1 Collegiate Soccer Players Female $20 \pm 1 \text{ y}$ N = 12	Intermittent Shuttle Run Duration: 60 min	StandingWalking (35%) VO2max)JoggingJogging (55%) VO2max)StridingStriding (95%) VO2max)Sprintingspeed)(maximal	HR: $\sim 170 \pm 4$ bpm RPE ₁₀ : increase from 3 \pm 1 (initial) to 7 \pm 1 (final)
Greig (2009)	Professional Soccer Players Male $25 \pm 4 y$ N = 10	Soccer-Specific Intermittent Treadmill Protocol Duration: 90 min	Standing Walking (4 km/h) Jogging (8 km/h) Running (12, 16 and 21 km/h) Sprinting (25 km/h)	HR: N/A RPE: N/A
Stone and Oliver (2009)	Semi Professional Division 2 Soccer Players Male $21 \pm 1 \text{ y}$ N = 9	Modified Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test	Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (9 km/h) Running (14 km/h) Sprinting (maximal effort)	HR: 155 ± 12 bpm RPE: N/A
Williams et al. (2010)	Amateur Soccer Players Male 26 ± 5 y	Ball-Sport Endurance and Sprint Test	Walking Jogging/Decelerating Forward running Backpedaling	HR: 156 – 167 bpm RPE: N/A



Malaysian Journal of Sport Science and Recreation Vol. 18. No. 2. 255-273, 2022. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24191/mjssr.v18i2.19577</u>



	N = 15	Duration: 90 min	Sprinting (75% maximum effort) Jumping Target shooting	
Small et al. (2010)	Semi Professional Soccer Players	Soccer-Specific Aerobic Field Test	Standing Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h)	HR: N/A
	Male 21 ± 3 y N = 15	Duration: 90 min	Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h)	RPE: N/A
Stone et al. (2011)	Semi Professional Soccer Players	Soccer Simulation Protocol	Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (9 km/h) Running (14 km/h)	HR: 163 ± 14 bpm
	Male 21 ± 2 y N = 12	Duration: 90 min	Sprinting (maximal effort)	BLa: 4.9 ± 2.3
Russell,	Youth Pro	Soccer Match	Walking	HR _{Trial 1} : 170 \pm
Benton, et	Soccer Players	Simulation	Sprinting	2 bpm
al. (2011)	18 ± 1 y		Dribbling	HR _{Trial 2} : 169 \pm
	N = 15	Duration: 90 min	Jogging	1 bpm
			Striding	
			Shooting	RPE: N/A
D 11	V (1 D		Passing	IID 150 - 4
Russell,	Youth Pro	Soccer Match	Walking	HR: 158 ± 4
Rees, et al. (2011)	Soccer Players 15 ± 1 w	Simulation	Sprinting Dribbling	bpm
(2011)	$15 \pm 1 \text{ y}$ N = 10	Duration: 90 min	Jogging	RPE: N/A
	N = 10	Duration. 90 mm	Striding	$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A}$
			Shooting	
			Passing	
Bendiksen	Amateur	Copenhagen	Standing	HR: $85 \pm 1 - 86$
et al. (2012)	Soccer Players	Soccer Test	Walking (6 km/h)	\pm 1% HR _{max}
	Male		Jogging (8 km/h)	
	$24 \pm 5 \text{ y}$	Duration: 90 min	Running (12, 15 and	RPE: N/A
	N = 12		18 km/h)	
			Backpedaling (10	
			km/h)	
Continued			Sprinting (> 25	
Conc. et el	Collegists	Individualizat	$\frac{\text{km/h}}{\text{Wellking}} $	
Cone et al. (2012)	Collegiate Soccer Players	Individualized Soccer-match	Walking (20.4% VO _{2max})	HR: N/A
(2012)	Male and	Simulation	VO_{2max}) Jogging (40.6%)	RPE ₂₀ : 15 ± 3
	Female	Simulation	VO_{2max} (40.076	$X_1 L_2 0. 1 J \perp J$
	$20 \pm 1 \text{ y}$	Duration: 90 min	Running $(60 - 83\%)$	
	N = 12 Male;		84 - 94% and $105 - 94%$	
	12 Female		109% VO _{2max})	
Robineau et	Amateur	Soccer Game	Standing	HR: 84 ± 6%
al. (2012)	Soccer Players	Modelling	Walking (4 km/h)	HR_{max}
	Male	-	Jogging (8, 10 and 12	
	$24 \pm 5 \text{ y}$	Duration: 90 min	km/h)	RPE: N/A
	N = 12			





Lovell et al.	Semi	Soccer-specific	Running(≤ 10%MaximalAerobicVelocity)SprintingSprinting(maximaleffort)Standing	HR: 161 ± 8
(2013)	Professional Soccer Players Male	Aerobic Field Test	Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h) Striding (15 km/h)	bpm RPE: N/A
	$20 \pm 1 \text{ y}$ $N = 10$	Duration. 90 min	Sprinting $(\ge 20.4 \text{ km/h})$	
Shultz et al. (2013)	Collegiate and Club Players	Intermittent Exercise Protocol	Walking Jogging	HR: N/A
	Male and Female Male: 20 ± 2 y Female: 21 ± 2 y N = 30 Male; 29 Female	Duration: 90 min	Running (low intensity) Running (moderate intensity) Running (high intensity)	RPE: N/A
Raja	Healthy	Overground	Overground:	HR: N/A
Azidin,	Players	Soccer Match	Standing	
Pykett, Scanlon,	Female Age: N/A	Simulation	Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h)	RPE: N/A
Baradburn, et al. (2014)	N = 15	Duration: 45 min	Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h)	IC 2. 1011
Raja	Recreationally	Treadmill Soccer	Treadmill:	Treadmill:
Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al.	Active Players Male 26 ± 5 y	Match Simulation Duration: 45 min	Standing Walking (4 km/h) Jogging (8 km/h)	HR: 142 ± 5 bpm
(2015)	N = 20	Overground	Running (12, 16 and 21 km/h)	RPE ₂₀ : 12 ± 2
		Soccer Match Simulation	Sprinting (25 km/h)	Overground: HR: 160 ± 7
		Duration: 45 min	Overground: Standing Walking (5 km/h)	bpm $BDE + 15 + 2$
			Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h) Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h)	RPE ₂₀ : 15 ± 2
Raja	Recreationally	Overground	Standing	HR: N/A
Azidin,	Active Soccer	Soccer Match	Walking (5 km/h)	
Sankey, et	Players	Simulation	Jogging (10.3 km/h)	
al. (2015a) Continued	Male 26 ± 4 y N = 14	Duration: 90 min	Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (\geq 20.4 km/h)	RPE: N/A
Raja	Recreationally	Overground	Standing	HR: N/A
Azidin,	Active Soccer Players	Soccer Match Simulation	Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h)	





Sankey, et al. (2015b)	Male Age: N/A N = 18	Duration: 90 min	Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h)	RPE: N/A
Page et al. (2015)	Semi Professional Soccer Players	Soccer-Specific Intermittent Protocol	Standing Walking (4 km/h) Jogging (8 km/h)	HR: 162 -172 bpm
	Male $23 \pm 4 \text{ y}$	Duration: 90 min	Running (11.6, 15 and 18 km/h)	RPE ₂₀ : 14 ± 3
	N = 18		Sprinting (25 km/h)	BLa: 3 ± 2 mmol/L
				$\begin{array}{l} VO_{2max}: \ 33.7 \ \pm \\ 4.7 \ ml/kg/min \end{array}$
				$\begin{array}{rrr} VO_{2peak}: & 53 & \pm \\ 8.7 & ml/kg/min \end{array}$
Shultz et al.	Collegiate and	Intermittent	Walking	HR: N/A
(2015)	Club Players Male and	Exercise Protocol	Jogging Running (low	RPE: N/A
	Female	Duration: 90 min	intensity)	
	Male: 20 ± 2 y Female: 21 ± 2		Running (moderate intensity)	
	y y		Running (high	
	N = 30 Male; 29 Female		intensity)	
Barreira et	Recreational	Overground	Standing	HR: N/A
al. (2016)	Players	Soccer Match	Walking (5 km/h)	
	Male $26 \pm 4 \text{ y}$	Simulation	Jogging (10.3 km/h) Striding (15 km/h)	RPE: N/A
	N = 15	Duration: 45 min	Sprinting $(\geq 20.4 \text{ km/h})$	
Stone et al.	Division 1	Soccer Simulation	Walking (5 km/h)	HR: N/A
(2016)	Soccer players	Protocol	Jogging (9 km/h)	
	Male 20 ± 1 y	Duration: 90 min	Running (14 km/h) Sprinting (maximal	RPE: N/A
	N = 8		effort)	BLa: Lower at 2nd Half
Harper et al.	Professional	Modified Soccer	Forwards running	RPE_{20} (1st
(2016)	Academy Soccer Players	Match Simulation	Backwards running Sideways running	half): 10 ± 4 RPE ₂₀ (2nd
	Male	Duration: 120 min	Sprinting	half): 12 ± 4
	$\begin{array}{l} 16\pm 1 \text{ y} \\ N=8 \end{array}$		Dribbling	$\begin{array}{c} RPE_{20} (Extra \\ time): 15 \pm 4 \end{array}$
Hamdan,	Recreational	Overground	Standing	HR: 153 ± 10
Ismail, et al. (2018)	Players Male	Soccer Match Simulation	Walking (5 km/h)	bpm
(2018)	$\begin{array}{l}\text{Male}\\23 \pm 5 \text{ y}\\\text{N} = 18\end{array}$	Duration: 90 min	Jogging (10.3 km/h)Striding (15 km/h)Sprinting (≥ 20.4	RPE: 12 ± 2
			km/h)	



Malaysian Journal of Sport Science and Recreation Vol. 18. No. 2. 255-273, 2022. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24191/mjssr.v18i2.19577</u>



Hamdan, Mohd Noh, et al. (2018)	Recreational Players Male 23 ± 5 y N = 16	Overground Soccer Match Simulation Duration: 120 min	Standing Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h) Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4	HR: 150 ± 11 bpm RPE: 12 ± 2
da Silva and Lovell (2020)	University Players Male $23 \pm 2 y$ N = 18	Technical Soccer- Specific Aerobic Field Test Duration: 90 min	km/h) Standing Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h) Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h) Jumping Shooting Passing Dribbling	%HRpeak: 85 ± 7 RPE: 18 ± 2 Body Mass Change: -1 kg Creatine Kinase: 283 ± 178 U/L
				Myoglobin: 203 ± 121 ng/mL
				Cortisol: 13 ± 5 µg/dL
				Leukocytes: 11250 ± 2643 $/mm^3$
				Neutrophils: 7787 \pm 2406 /mm ³
				Lymphocytes: 3013 ± 1050 /mm ³
Hamdan et al. (2020)	Recreational Players Male	Ball Oriented Soccer Simulation	Standing Walking (5 km/h) Jogging (10.3 km/h)	HR: 149 ± 8 bpm
	$25 \pm 2 y$ N = 7	Duration: 90 min	Striding (10.5 km/h) Striding (15 km/h) Sprinting (≥ 20.4 km/h) Jumping and Heading Shooting Passing Dribbling	RPE: 14 ± 1





The Characteristics of Simulated Soccer Match-Play Protocols for Laboratory-Controlled Studies

Undoubtedly, there have been various soccer match-play simulations developed using treadmill and overground protocols to simulate soccer matches. The need to replicate the characteristics of soccer match-play activities demands the incorporation of utility movements, which are often multidirectional, and implements high accelerations and decelerations over the course of the simulation which may be found lacking in a single direction treadmill protocol with motor-controlled accelerations and decelerations (Raja Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al., 2015). Overground protocols, on the other hand, requires greater space for various activities incorporated in the simulation to accommodate these demands. Furthermore, overground simulations have shown to elicit better representation of physiological (Raja Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al., 2015) and accurate physical (Barreira et al., 2016) demands of actual match-play. However, not all overground match-play simulations fulfil certain ecological parameters in soccer; especially when it comes to individual ball actions and running distance. Table 2 briefly summarizes several parameters that a player covers in actual match observations in comparison to that covered in selected overground match-play simulations.

Item	Author	IEP	M- LIST	CST	BEAST90	SAFT90	OSMS	BOSS	T- SAFT90
Total Distance	Small et al, (2010) 11.08 km	NR	12.5 km	11.29 km	8.10 km	11.1 km	10.78 km	10.78 km	11.1 km
Distance in Possession	Di Salvo et al, (2007) 119 – 286 m	NA	NA	NR	NA	NA	NA	270 m	360 m
Individual Ball Actions	Link et al, (2017) 117 – 126	NA	36	NR	NR	NA	NA	120	48
Shooting		NA	\checkmark		\checkmark	NA	NA	36	12
Passing		NA	NA	\checkmark	NR	NA	NA	48	24
Heading /Jumping		NA	NA	NR	NR	NA	NA	12	12
Dribbling	1 .	NA	NA		NR	NA	NA	18	12

Table 2: Selected Overground Soccer Match-Play Characteristics

NR = Not Reported; NA = Not available

Several Soccer match simulations have been identified and reviewed. According to Small et al. (2010), the mean distance covered in soccer matches is 11.08km, which was closely replicated in the SAFT90, OSMS, CST, and BOSS. Many simulations consisted of various profiles from walking, to striding and sprinting, which were required to be performed at either a predetermined percent of maximal volume of oxygen consumption (VO2max), heart





rate, or simply by covering a determined distance over a duration controlled by audio cues. Monitoring match-play simulations using physiological responses as aerobic capacity and heart rate measurements may allow accurate physiological replication of the demands during a soccer match simulation, however, the utility of audio cues to complete a distance creates a condition to fulfill both physiological and physical demands of a match play (Barreira et al., 2016). Moreover, the individual aerobic capacities among athletes and participants need to be assessed beforehand and may vary and cause discrepancies in physical distance covered during a simulation. This adds an opportunity for added information on a participants' physical condition, but it may present drawbacks such as increased assessment duration, equipment, and manpower. Whereas audio-based cues create physical and physiological demands of a soccer match (Barreira et al., 2016) as participants are instructed to complete the simulation as closely as possible (Small et al., 2010).

Spatial Considerations for Protocol Selection

Some extensions from overground soccer match-play simulations has included ball handling skills such as kicking and heading in an attempt to extend the ecological validity of the simulation such as the BEAST90 (Williams et al., 2010) and the CST (Bendiksen et al., 2012). These additions of ball actions was to reproduce movement patterns experienced in actual soccer matches (Stølen et al., 2005). The design of the BEAST90 and the CST has been done in a spacious setting such as in a sports hall or on an open field. The reason for such circumstance was because the course of the simulations set up as a battery of small soccer drills where the participants were required to move from one station to another and they included ball kicking with accuracy. Thus, a large, spacious area becomes a requirement. Whereas the OSMS and the SAFT90 were designed over a 15m (Raja Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al., 2015) and a 20m (Small et al., 2010) course, allowing administration in smaller areas or laboratories. This makes the OSMS and the SAFT90 more versatile and a more convenient protocol to be employed. However, despite being able to reproduce physical and physiological demands of match-play, both SAFT and the OSMS lacked an ecological element of a soccer match-play: ball handling tasks.

Ecological Validity of a Soccer Match-play Simulation

Several innovations have then been introduced based on the SAFT90 and the OSMS. The technical SAFT (T-SAFT90) which was based on the SAFT90 has included jumping and technical skills (da Silva & Lovell, 2020), while a shorter, 5min-duration, high intensity variant, SAFT5 (Bossuyt et al., 2015), incorporated agility and plyometrics to reproduce high-intensity bouts of a soccer match. da Silva and Lovell (2020) reported improved external validity of the T-SAFT90 to undertake ecologically valid soccer research with the incorporation of ball handling tasks as passes, dribbles, and shots on target. However, the total frequency of ball activities reported summed up to less than half of the reported 117-126 times in actual match-play (Link & Hoernig, 2017). The ball-oriented soccer simulation (BOSS) (Hamdan et al., 2020) was a variant of the OSMS by Raja Azidin, Sankey, Drust, et al. (2015) which included ball handling tasks ranging from running with a ball (dribbling), heading, short passes and shooting (or long passes, interchangeably) tasks. The design of the BOSS was targeted to replicate the ecology of a soccer match-play where players interact with the ball up to 120 times, covering range between 120 – 286m when in possession of the ball per person



Malaysian Journal of Sport Science and Recreation Vol. 18. No. 2. 255-273, 2022. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24191/mjssr.v18i2.19577</u>



(Di Salvo et al., 2007). Several modifications could also be considered for the BOSS to accommodate the demands of different studies and sample characteristics such as a distance reduction of the course for a younger population or conducting the simulation on-field outdoors to integrate shooting or passing accuracy tests during the simulation itself such as demonstrated by Nordin et al. (2020).

Alternatives to Soccer Match-play Simulations

To our knowledge at the time of the review, the BOSS may be the closest soccer match-play simulation available for a controlled laboratory study. Overground soccer match-play simulations may be used as a more resource efficient strategy to reproducing the demands of soccer match-play for laboratory studies. Several studies approached reproduction of soccer match-play demands by conducting simulated matches (de Oliveira et al., 2018; Freitas et al., 2016; Russell et al., 2014). These simulated matches are conducted in a manner that recreates actual match conditions where two teams of 11 players will compete on-field with full involvement by coaches and support teams. These organized matches would require increased costs of preparation, time, and human resource to be successfully executed. On the other hand, simulated matches are ideal for conducting studies on physiological and metabolic parameters as data may be collected by groups, thus allowing efficient data collection periods. Whereas overground match-play simulations are administered for one participant at a time such as for biomechanical assessments for injury risks, thus several considerations are required such as a standardized session time for all participants to account for circadian variations among participants and their training schedules.

CONCLUSION

Several soccer match-play simulations have been reviewed in this article. To our knowledge, this paper is the first narrative review to discuss numerous protocols used in research towards reproducing soccer match-play demands in a lab-controlled environment. Several key points that could be taken from this review may be as follows:

Overground and treadmill simulations may offer cost efficient reproduction of soccer match-play demands, with arguably the best control for uniform exertion to reduce injuries during simulations. These simulations may be beneficial for a myriad of study disciplines in soccer.

The several considerations should be highlighted in selecting a soccer match-play simulation, namely space, resources, ecological validity, and time efficiency.

The utility of soccer match-play simulations could allow further understanding in the biological responses of athletes during matches, therefore, it seems logical that a simulation replicates soccer specific demands of match-play, whilst being safe, feasible and controllable to be conducted in lab-controlled studies.

Practical applications of simulated soccer match-play includes using them as fatiguing protocols for soccer-specific injury risk screening and return to play assessments.





Authors' contributions

Raja Mohammed Firhad Raja Azidin was responsible for the preliminary reviews of soccer match-play simulations, and for the review of earlier versions of the manuscript.

Muhammad Hamdan was responsible for reviewing the characteristics of simulated soccer match-play and writing up the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

There was no conflict of interest to be reported.

REFERENCES

- Baade, R. A., & Matheson, V. A. (2004). The quest for the cup: assessing the economic impact of the world cup. *Regional studies*, *38*(4), 343-354.
- Barreira, P., Robinson, M. A., Drust, B., Nedergaard, N., Raja Azidin, R. M. F., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2016). Mechanical Player Load[™] using trunk-mounted accelerometry in football: Is it a reliable, task-and player-specific observation? *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1-8.
- Bendiksen, M., Bischoff, R., Randers, M. B., Mohr, M., Rollo, I., Suetta, C., Bangsbo, J., & Krustrup, P. (2012). The Copenhagen Soccer Test: physiological response and fatigue development. *Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 44(8), 1595-1603.
- Bossuyt, F. M., García-Pinillos, F., Raja Azidin, R., Vanrenterghem, J., & Robinson, M. A. (2015). The Utility of a High-intensity Exercise Protocol to Prospectively Assess ACL Injury Risk. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 95(02), 125-133.
- Cone, J. R., Berry, N. T., Goldfarb, A. H., Henson, R. A., Schmitz, R. J., Wideman, L., & Shultz, S. J. (2012). Effects of an individualized soccer match simulation on vertical stiffness and impedance. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 26(8), 2027-2036.
- da Silva, C. D., & Lovell, R. (2020, 01 Sep. 2020). External Validity of the T-SAFT90: A Soccer Simulation Including Technical and Jumping Activities. *International journal* of sports physiology and performance, 15(8), 1074-1080. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2019-0057
- de Oliveira, D. C. X., Frisselli, A., de Souza, E. G., Stanganelli, L. C. R., & Deminice, R. (2018). Venous versus capillary sampling for total creatine kinase assay: Effects of a simulated football match. *PloS one, 13*(9), e0204238. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0204238





- Di Salvo, V., Baron, R., Tschan, H., Montero, F. C., Bachl, N., & Pigozzi, F. (2007). Performance characteristics according to playing position in elite soccer. *International Journal of Sports Medicine, 28*(03), 222-227.
- Drust, B., Reilly, T., & Cable, N. (2000). Physiological responses to laboratory-based soccerspecific intermittent and continuous exercise. *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, *18*(11), 885-892. <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/026404100750017814</u>
- Freitas, C. G., Aoki, M. S., Arruda, A. F., Franciscon, C., & Moreira, A. (2016, Dec 1). Monitoring Salivary Immunoglobulin A Responses to Official and Simulated Matches In Elite Young Soccer Players. J Hum Kinet, 53, 107-115. https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0015
- Greig, M. (2009). The influence of soccer-specific activity on the kinematics of an agility sprint. *The European Journal of Sport Science*, 9(1), 23-33.
- Greig, M. P., Mc Naughton, L. R., & Lovell, R. J. (2006). Physiological and mechanical response to soccer-specific intermittent activity and steady-state activity. *Research in Sports Medicine*, 14(1), 29-52. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15438620500528257
- Hamdan, M., Ang, G. Y., Sharir, R., Yeo, W. K., & Azidin, R. M. F. R. (2020). Changes in Hamstring Eccentric Peak Torques and Angles of Peak Torque Following 90 Minutes of Soccer Specific Exertions. *Malaysian Journal of Movement, Health & Exercise*, 9(2).
- Hamdan, M., Ismail, S. I., Hassan, H., Ismail, H., & Raja Azidin, R. M. F. (2018). The Effects of A 90-minute Simulated Soccer Match-play on Knee and Hip Kinematics. *Movement, Health & Exercise (MoHE), 7*(1).
- Hamdan, M., Mohd Noh, S. N., Hasan, H., Ismail, H., & Raja Azidin, R. M. F. (2018). Knee and hip extension responses to prolonged simulated soccer match-play: A 2D study. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (UAE)*, 7(4), 6-14.
- Harper, L. D., Clifford, T., Briggs, M. A., McNamee, G., West, D. J., Stevenson, E., & Russell, M. (2016, Jun). The effects of 120 minutes of simulated match play on indices of acidbase balance in professional academy soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res, 30*(6), 1517-1524. <Go to ISI>://WOS:000377107900003
- Kellis, E., Katis, A., & Vrabas, I. S. (2006). Effects of an intermittent exercise fatigue protocol on biomechanics of soccer kick performance. *The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine* & Science in Sports, 16(5), 334-344.
- Link, D., & Hoernig, M. (2017). Individual ball possession in soccer. *PloS one, 12*(7), e0179953.





- Lovell, R., Midgley, A., Barrett, S., Carter, D., & Small, K. (2013). Effects of different halftime strategies on second half soccer-specific speed, power and dynamic strength. *The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports*, 23(1), 105.
- Lucci, S., Cortes, N., Van Lunen, B., Ringleb, S., & Onate, J. (2011). Knee and hip sagittal and transverse plane changes after two fatigue protocols. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 14(5), 453-459.
- Mohd Noh, S. N., Hamdan, M., Ismail, S. I., Hasan, H., Ismail, H., & Raja Azidin, R. M. F. (2019, October 2nd, 2019). Does Fatigue Influence Jump-Landing Mechanics In Soccer Players? Implications For ACL Injury Risk Assessment 6th Movement, Health & Exercise Conference and 12th International Sports Science Conference, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.
- Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of high-standard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21(7), 519-528. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0264041031000071182
- Mohr, M., Krustrup, P., Nybo, L., Nielsen, J. J., & Bangsbo, J. (2004). Muscle temperature and sprint performance during soccer matches-beneficial effect of re-warm-up at half-time. *The Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 14*(3), 156-162.
- Nicholas, C. W., Nuttall, F. E., & Williams, C. (2000). The Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test: a field test that simulates the activity pattern in soccer. *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, 18(2), 97. <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/026404100365162</u>
- Nordin, M. N. A., Muhammad, H., Hosni, H., Wee Kian, Y., Hashbullah, I., Zulkifli, M., & Raja Mohammed Firhad, R. A. (2020). Selected Physiological Responses During Youth Soccer Match Simulation International Sports Science and Sports Medicine Conference: Virtual Edition (VSMSS2020), Malaysia.
- Page, R. M., Marrin, K., Brogden, C. M., & Greig, M. (2015). Biomechanical and physiological response to a contemporary soccer match-play simulation. *The Journal* of Strength & Conditioning Research, 29(10), 2860-2866.
- Rahnama, N., Reilly, T., Lees, A., & Graham-Smith, P. (2003). Muscle fatigue induced by exercise simulating the work rate of competitive soccer. *The Journal of Sports Sciences,* 21(11), 933-942. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0264041031000140428
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F. (2015). *Effect of soccer match-play on markers of anterior cruciate ligament injury risk* Liverpool John Moores University].
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Pykett, J., Scanlon, E., Baradburn, H., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2014, 5th July 2014). *The effects of soccer match simulation on*





functional hamstring to quadriceps ratio and knee joint moments in side cutting 19th Annual Congress of the European College of Sport Science, Amsterdam, Netherland.

- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Pykett, J., Scanlon, E., Bradburn, H., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2014, 5th July 2014). *The Effects Of Soccer Match Simulation On Functional Hamstring To Quadriceps Ratio And Peak Knee Abduction Moments In Side Cutting* 19th Annual Congress of the European College of Sport Science, Amsterdam, Netherland.
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Sankey, S. P., Bossuyt, F., Drust, B., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2015a, 20th March 2015). Anterior cruciate ligament injury risk during soccer match-play: Does half time re-warmup affect muscular or biomechanical markers ACL Retreat 2015, North Carolina, United States.
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Sankey, S. P., Bossuyt, F., Drust, B., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2015b, 20th May 2015). Evaluating markers of anterior curciate ligament injury risk during simulated soccer match-play: A biomechanical and isokinetic investigation. 8th World Congress on Science and Football., Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Sankey, S. P., Drust, B., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2015, 2015/08/09). Effects of treadmill versus overground soccer match simulations on biomechanical markers of anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in side cutting. *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, 33(13), 1332-1341. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.990491
- Raja Azidin, R. M. F., Sankey, S. P., Robinson, M. A., & Vanrenterghem, J. (2013). Treadmill Versus Overground Soccer-Specific Fatigue: The Effect On Hamstring And Quadriceps Strength And Frontal Plane Peak Knee Joint Moments In Side-Cutting.
- Rampinini, E., Impellizzeri, F. M., Castagna, C., Azzalin, A., Ferrari, B. D., & Wisløff, U. (2008). Effect of match-related fatigue on short-passing ability in young soccer players. *Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 40(5), 934-942.
- Reilly, T. (1997). Energetics of high-intensity exercise (soccer) with particular reference to fatigue. *The Journal of Sports Sciences*, 15(3), 257-263. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/026404197367263
- Reilly, T. (2005). An ergonomics model of the soccer training process. *The Journal of Sports Sciences,* 23(6), 561-572. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02640410400021245?needAccess=tru e
- Reilly, T., & Brooks, G. (1986). Exercise and the circadian variation in body temperature measures. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 7(06), 358-362.





- Robineau, J., Jouaux, T., Lacroix, M., & Babault, N. (2012). Neuromuscular fatigue induced by a 90-minute soccer game modeling. *J Strength Cond Res, 26*(2), 555.
- Robinson, P., & White, L. M. (2005). The biomechanics and imaging of soccer injuries. Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology,
- Russell, M., Benton, D., & Kingsley, M. (2011). The effects of fatigue on soccer skills performed during a soccer match simulation. *The International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 6(2), 221-233.
- Russell, M., Benton, D., & Kingsley, M. (2014, Jul-Aug). Carbohydrate ingestion before and during soccer match play and blood glucose and lactate concentrations. *J Athl Train*, 49(4), 447-453. <u>https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.12</u>
- Russell, M., Rees, G., Benton, D., & Kingsley, M. (2011). An exercise protocol that replicates soccer match-play. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, *32*(07), 511-518.
- Sanna, G., & O'Connor, K. M. (2008). Fatigue-related changes in stance leg mechanics during sidestep cutting maneuvers. *Journal of Clinical Biomechanics*, 23(7), 946-954.
- Shultz, S. J., Schmitz, R. J., Cone, J. R., Copple, T. J., Montgomery, M. M., Pye, M. L., & Tritsch, A. J. (2013). Multiplanar knee laxity increases during a 90-min intermittent exercise protocol. *Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 45(8), 1553-1561.
- Shultz, S. J., Schmitz, R. J., Cone, J. R., Henson, R. A., Montgomery, M. M., Pye, M. L., & Tritsch, A. J. (2015). Changes in fatigue, multiplanar knee laxity, and landing biomechanics during intermittent exercise. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 50(5), 486-497.
- Small, K., McNaughton, L., Greig, M., & Lovell, R. (2010). The effects of multidirectional soccer-specific fatigue on markers of hamstring injury risk. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13(1), 120-125.
- Small, K. A. (2008). *Effect of Fatigue on Hamstring Strain Injury Risk in Soccer* University of Hull].
- Stølen, T., Chamari, K., Castagna, C., & Wisløff, U. (2005). Physiology of soccer. Sports Medicine, 35(6), 501-536. https://link.springer.com/article/10.2165%2F00007256-200535060-00004
- Stone, K. J., Hughes, M. G., Stembridge, M. R., Meyers, R. W., Newcombe, D. J., & Oliver, J. L. (2016). The influence of playing surface on physiological and performance responses during and after soccer simulation. *The European Journal of Sport Science*, 16(1), 42-49. <u>https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17461391.2014.984768</u>





- Stone, K. J., & Oliver, J. L. (2009). The effect of 45 minutes of soccer-specific exercise on the performance of soccer skills. *The International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 4(2), 163-175.
- Stone, K. J., Oliver, J. L., Hughes, M. G., Stembridge, M. R., Newcombe, D. J., & Meyers, R. W. (2011). Development of a soccer simulation protocol to include repeated sprints and agility. *The International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 6(3), 427-431.
- Thatcher, R., & Batterham, A. M. (2004). Development and validation of a sport-specific exercise protocol for elite youth soccer players. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 44(1), 15.
- Williams, J. D., Abt, G., & Kilding, A. E. (2010). Ball-sport endurance and sprint test (BEAST90): Validity and reliability of a 90-minute soccer performance test. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 24(12), 3209-3218.

